









United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/819,561	03/27/2001	Larry L. Hood	155694-0054	2600	
1622 75	590 10/30/2003		EXAMI	NER	
IRELL & MANELLA LLP			SHAY, DA	SHAY, DAVID M	
840 NEWPORT CENTER DRIVE SUITE 400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEWPORT BE	EACH, CA 92660		3739		
			DATE MAILED: 10/30/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	A	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	
	····				
			EX	AMINER	
				•	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		Ļ	ATE MAILED:		

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

<u>19</u> 1	/ IE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:						
a) 🗌	is extended to run	or continues to run	from the date of the final rejection				
b) 🗗			late of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no months from the date of the final rejection.				
	The date on which the response, the per purposes of determining the period of e	etition, and the fee have been filed is textension and the corresponding amou	36(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee, the date of the response and also the date for the int of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR period for response or as set forth in b) above.				
□ A	ppellant's Brief is due in accordance with	37 CFR 1.192(a).					
Ar bo	plicant's response to the final rejection, fi place the application in condition for allo	iled <u>9/24/24c3</u> has been cor wance:	nsidered with the following effect, but it is not deemed				
1.	The proposed amendments to the claim	and /or specification will not be entere	ed and the final rejection stands because:				
	a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.						
	b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).						
	c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).						
	d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.						
	e. They present additional claims w	vithout cancelling a corresponding num	ber of finally rejected claims.				
	NOTE: <u>denaturing</u> wi	thout ablation is new	sin				
2.	Newly proposed or amended claims the non-allowable claims.	would be allowed if	submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling				
з. 🖳	Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed be as follows:	d amendment 🗌 will be entered 🖵	will not be entered and the status of the claims will				
	Claims allowed:						
	Claims objected to:	+30-44					
	However:						
	Applicant's response has overcom	e the following rejection(s):					
4.	The affidavit, exhibit or request for reco	onsideration has been considered but	does not overcome the rejection because				
5. [presented.	neten necanza abblicant has not show	n good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier				
□ть	e proposed drawing correction has	has not been approved by the e	xaminer.				
□ Other DAVID M. SHAY							
			PRIMARY EXAMINER				

GROUP 330